## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

DIANE A. TURNER, individually, and as the representative of a class of similarly situated persons,

Plaintiff,

v.

ITI INTERNET SERVICES, INC., d/b/a ITI BANK, THE BANCORP BANK, MOONLIGHT MARKETING, INC.,

Defendants.

No. 05-CV-0325-DRH

## <u>ORDER</u>

## HERNDON, District Judge:

On September 1, 2005, The Bancorp Bank filed a supplement to its reply to Plaintiff's motion to remand (Doc. 35). Even though Bancorp labeled the pleading as a "supplement," a review of the pleading indicates that it is a sur-reply brief which is not allowed under this Judicial District's Local Rules. Pursuant to **Local Rule 7.1(g)**, the Court **STRIKES** this pleading.<sup>1</sup>

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Signed this 2nd day of September, 2005.

/s/ David RHerndon
United States District Judge

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>"Under no circumstances will sur-reply briefs be accepted." **Local Rule 7.1(g)**.